Gender and Age Differences in Patients' Satisfaction with Dental Care in the Slovak Republic: A Cross-sectional Questionnaire Study
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Abstract

Objective: To analyze the level of quality of healthcare satisfaction/dissatisfaction according to gender and age in terms of time spent with the doctor, communication, general satisfaction, interpersonal and financial aspects, technical quality and access/availability/convenience. Material and Methods: The standardized "Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire" (n=445) was collected in dental clinics in the Slovak Republic in the period of 2014-2015. The study sample was divided into subgroups according to gender (males: n=236; females: n=209) and age (<35 years: n= 243; ≥36 years: n=202). A scale from 1 (maximum dissatisfaction with quality of healthcare) to 5 (maximum satisfaction with quality of healthcare) was evaluated. Results: The highest significant satisfaction level of quality of healthcare (expressed as a mean score) were found in females in the subscale time spent with doctor (<35 y.) (2.90±0.69; p<0.042); the lowest satisfaction level was found in females (<35 y.) in the subscale general satisfaction (2.53±0.36; p=n.s.). Females (≥36y.) were significantly more satisfied with health care quality in the subscale communication than males (2.72±0.34 and 2.62±0.3, respectively; p=0.046). Males (<35 y.) were significantly less satisfied in the subscale technical quality compared to females (2.54±0.27 and 2.65±0.26, respectively; p=0.002). Conclusion: For the future, it is necessary to specify the next reasons for patients' satisfaction/dissatisfaction with dental care.
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Introduction

The quality of health care is a multidimensional set of criteria [1] including communication and interpersonal aspects, access/availability aspects [2], the technical quality, doctor-patient relationship, time spent with the doctor.

The dental care necessitates careful assessment dental health care quality by patients [3]. Over the past decade, it was found higher member of studies of dental health, which documented quality of health care.

Patient satisfaction is the patient's subjective assessment during health care [4]. For subjective health assessment we use several standardized questionnaires [5]: the Physician–Patient Working Alliance Scale [6], Perceived Utility Scale [7], Treatment Adherence Self-Efficacy Scale [8], Physician Empathy Questionnaire [9], Physician Multicultural Competence Questionnaire [10], Medical Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire [11], Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire PSQ III [12].

There is the need specify patient satisfaction quality and dental health quality with the worldwide increase of dental treatment methods. The aim of the study is to analyze the level of quality of healthcare satisfaction/dissatisfaction according to gender and age in terms of time spent with the doctor, communication, general satisfaction, interpersonal and financial aspects, technical quality and access/availability/convenience.

Material and Methods

The standardized "Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire" (PSQ III.) [12] (n=445) were collected in dental clinics in the Slovak Republic in the period of 2014–2015.

The questioners in the standardized questionnaire was divided into seven subscales: Time Spent with Doctor (2 items), Communication (5 items), General Satisfaction (6 items) Interpersonal Aspect (7 items), Financial Aspect (8 items), Technical Quality (10 items), Access/Availability/Convenience (12 items). A scale from 1 (maximum dissatisfaction with quality of healthcare) to 5 (maximum satisfaction with quality of healthcare) was evaluated.

The study sample was divided into subgroups according to gender (males: n=236; females: n=209) and age (<35 years: n= 243; ≥36 years: n=202).

Data was analyzed in the statistical program SPSS.

Results

Mean level (score) satisfaction with healthcare quality are presented in Table 1. The highest significant satisfaction level of quality of healthcare (expressed as a mean score) were found in females in the subscale time spent with doctor (<35 y.) (2.90±0.69; p<0.042); the lowest satisfaction level was found in females (<35 y.) in the subscale general satisfaction (2.53±0.36; p=n.s.).

Females (≥36y.) were significantly more satisfied with health care quality in the subscale communication than males (2.72±0.34 and 2.62±0.3, respectively; p=0.046). Males (<35 y.) were
significantly less satisfied in the subscale technical quality in comparison to females (2.54±0.27 and 2.65±0.26, respectively; p=0.002) (Table 1).

A higher, although not significant satisfaction level was found in the oldest females in the subscales time spent with doctor (2.85±0.54 vs. 2.76±0.60), interpersonal aspect (2.75±0.49 vs. 2.68±0.38), technical quality (2.58±0.26 vs. 2.57±0.22) and access/availability/convenience (2.78±0.27 vs. 2.77±0.22) (Table 1).

Table 1. Mean level (score) satisfaction with healthcare quality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSQ-50 Scale</th>
<th>No. items</th>
<th>Age [y.]</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean Score (SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time Spent with Doctor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2.72 (0.70)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥36</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>2.76 (0.60)</td>
<td>0.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2.61 (0.29)</td>
<td>0.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥36</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>2.62 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Satisfaction</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2.54 (0.33)</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥36</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>2.55 (0.38)</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal Aspect</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2.73 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥36</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>2.68 (0.38)</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Aspect</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2.80 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥36</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>2.80 (0.24)</td>
<td>0.351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Quality</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2.54 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥36</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>2.57 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access/Availability/Convenience</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2.74 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥36</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>2.77 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = Standard Deviation

The low difference in satisfaction was observed in younger patients in the subscale communication and in older patients in the subscale general satisfaction.

Discussion

The subscale time spent with doctor is one of the main determinants of patient satisfaction [13]. In the study by Chander et al. [14] was subscale time spent with doctor higher than our study. This can be partly explained by different patient samples and different among cultures. The interaction of factors constituting subscale time with doctor is different among cultures. In our study, satisfaction in subscale time spent with doctor according to age and gender was different.

Communication skills improve healthcare quality and patient satisfaction [15]. Impact healthcare communication on patient satisfaction is not straight forward. It was found an association among more satisfied patients with healthcare, with the patients who have had a good health outcome, with patients who are generally happy and with who reached a higher satisfaction level in
subscale of the communication [16]. In our study in females (≥36) was found the highest satisfied in subscale communication.

General patient satisfaction with healthcare quality is subjective, because patients do not take into account results and the appropriateness of therapy [17]. In subscale general satisfaction was included statements: 1) I am very satisfied with the medical care I receive; 2) There are some things about the medical care I receive that could be better; 3) All things considered, the medical care I receive is excellent; 4) There are things about the medical system I receive my care from that need to be improved; 5) The medical care I have been receiving is just about perfect; 6) I am dissatisfied with some things about the medical care I receive. In our study the mean general satisfaction according to gender and age was lower than in the study by Holikatti et al. [18]. In the study mentioned above dealt was used different patient samples and used other type standardized "Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire" (the short-form questionnaire PSQ-18) with only 3 general satisfaction questions. In subscale general patient satisfaction was found the highest dissatisfaction level with quality of health care.

The both cost containment on healthcare and healthcare quality are controversial topics in health policy. The improvements healthcare quality will require increases in cost and cost reductions could reduce healthcare quality [19].

Many technical quality aspects of healthcare quality should not be evaluated by patients. In many of the villages and small towns in the Slovak Republic is lack of panoramic X-ray devices and patients have to commute long distances.

Conclusion

The dental care providers should give priority to improving of general satisfaction and accessibility. For the future, it is necessary to specify the next reasons for patients' satisfaction/dissatisfaction with dental care.
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