Prevalence of Temporomandibular Disorders and its Correlation with Stress and Salivary Cortisol Levels among Students
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the prevalence of temporomandibular disorders (TMD) in students and to evaluate if any relationship existed between the stress levels, salivary cortisol levels, and TMD. Material and Methods: A total of 348 students, 187 female, and 161 male students, participated in this cross-sectional study. Students were evaluated based on the Research Diagnostic Criteria for TMD. The stress levels were evaluated using the Perceived Stress Scale. The students were divided into the control and TMD groups. Salivary cortisol levels in the salivary samples were analyzed. Results: The prevalence rate of TMDs was 30.7% in the study population. Of the female students, 61% had TMD compared with 46% of male students. Muscle disorders were the most predominant disorder in 14.2% of the students with TMD. The TMD group showed significantly higher salivary cortisol and stress levels than the control group. The TMD group also showed a moderate positive correlation between cortisol and stress levels (p=0.01). Conclusion: The study showed a strong association between salivary cortisol levels, stress, and temporomandibular disorders. Salivary cortisol could be used as a prognostic biomarker for stress while assessing the severity of TMJ problems in stressed individuals.
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Introduction

Temporomandibular disorders (TMD) represent numerous problems related to temporomandibular joints, masticatory musculature, and related structures [1]. TMD is described by a typical triad of clinical signs, such as TMJ sounds, TMJ pain or masticatory muscle pain, and deviations or limitations in jaw movements [2]. TMD's symptoms increase in adolescence, become prominent during middle age, and gradually reduce later in life [3,4].

The diagnosis of TMD is always established by the examination of signs and symptoms; however, the presence of symptoms is variable. Few epidemiological studies have been conducted to evaluate the prevalence of TMD in patients and healthy individuals. Furthermore, the prevalence rate of 16-59% in the common population has been reported [5-7]. Solberg et al. [8] reported that TMD symptoms existed in approximately 26% of college students. A higher prevalence of TMD symptoms in females has been reported [9]. Zulqarnain et al. [10] reported a prevalence of 12% in female college students.

Many studies have used self-administered questionnaires to evaluate the prevalence of TMDs in the general population [9-13]. The Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD) was first recommended by Dworkin and Leresche [11]. The RDC/TMD detects the complete relationship between the psychological and physical dimensions of chronic pain. It provides a correct measurement of signs and symptoms in TMD (Axis I) and the associated psychosocial and psychological factors (Axis II) [12]. The RDC/TMD has been verified for validity and reliability for Axis I and II. It is universally accepted because of its good to excellent results [11-15].

TMD has a multifactorial etiology, but the role of individual etiological factors remains controversial [16]. Patients with TMD present with a range of behavioral and psychosocial features and psychological stress is an important triggering factor [17]. Stress is a condition of psychological or physiological pressure caused by different stimuli. Socioeconomic factors, changes in the lifestyle, competitive workloads, and emotional disturbances lead to increased stress levels in the existing scenario [18]. The mechanism of stress response leads to two chemical sequences. A quick response is facilitated by the adrenaline and noradrenaline hormones, and a slow response is facilitated by the cortisol hormone. The cortisol hormone is generally considered as a stress level indicator [19].

Patients with higher stress levels are more prone to bruxism and TMJ disorders and generally, excessive stress has several implications on physical and mental health [20]. Frequent exposure to stressful conditions can increase cortisol levels, which can have harmful effects on health. Salivary cortisol level is a valid indicator for the plasma cortisol concentration [21]. Like plasma cortisol, the cortisol concentration in the saliva is a dependable stress marker [22]. This study aimed to measure the prevalence of TMDs in dental and medical students using the RDC/TMD Axis I questionnaire. The second objective was to assess the stress and saliva cortisol levels in students with TMD and to compare the results with students without TMD. The hypothesis established was that students with TMD did not present with any changes in stress and salivary cortisol levels.

Material and Methods

Study Design and Ethical Clearance

A cross-sectional study was conducted after obtaining ethical approval from the Institutional Ethical Committee, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal (IEC196/2019 dated 13/3/2019). This study was registered in the Clinical Trial Registry India (CTRI/2019/05/019368). The participants were explained in detail
regarding the importance of the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all the students who were willing to participate in the study.

Sample Size

A total of 348 dental and medical students between the age group of 18 to 23 years, from Melaka Manipal Medical College, Manipal, participated in this study. The sample size was calculated based on the prevalence rate of a previous study \([23]\).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The following inclusion criteria were established: students voluntarily willing to participate in the study; normal sleep habits and complete dental arches (erupted third molars were not required). The following exclusion criteria were adopted: smoking habit; any history of systemic illness; a history of orthodontic treatment; use of any dental prosthesis; dental pain and any facial pain interfering with the diagnosis of TMD

Sleep Assessment

Assessment of normal sleep habits was performed by considering the sleep timing, which should be regular and conventional with no sign of sleep disruption \([24]\).

TMD Assessment

A modified version of Axis I questionnaire of the RDC/TMD was used, which facilitated the establishment of the TMD patterns and categorized the students into two groups: those without TMD and those with TMD. The RDC/TMD version 1 categorizes patients based on their diagnosis into the following three groups: muscle disorders (Group I), disk displacement (Group II), and arthralgia, osteoarthritis, or osteoarthrosis (Group III) \([11]\).

A single examiner performed the clinical examination following the method mentioned in RDC/TMD, and the findings were recorded. The range of mandibular movements (mouth opening, protrusion, and lateral movements) and mandibular movements were recorded by the Okeson method \([19]\). Palpation of the muscles and TMJ was performed to record pain or tenderness. The muscles were palpated bilaterally by application of digital pressure. Extraoral palpation of the temporalis, masseter, and medial pterygoid muscles was performed, and the lateral pterygoid and medial pterygoid were palpated intraorally. The muscles were palpated bilaterally on each side by unilateral palpation with firm pressure exerted by one finger (intra-oral muscles) or two fingers (extra-oral muscles). TMJ sounds for vertical motion, lateral excursions, and protrusion were recorded using a stethoscope. Scoring was performed following the clinical examination, and the diagnosis was established using RDC/TMD Axis 1.

The second part of this study was to measure the salivary cortisol and stress values between the two groups: a control group consisting of students without TMD disorders and a case group consisting of students with TMD disorders diagnosed using RDC/TMD criteria. The sample size consisted of 40 participants. Simple random sampling by the lottery method was performed for the selection of 20 students with TMD in the TMD group and 20 students without TMD as controls.

Perceived Stress Scale
The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) questionnaire consisting of 10 questions was modified and administered to the participants and they were instructed to answer on the same day as the collection of the saliva sample [19]. The questionnaire included a few direct questions regarding the existing stress levels. Each question was rated on a 5-point scale (0, never; 1, almost never; 2, sometimes; 3, often; and 4, very often. For questions 4, 5, 7 and 8 the scores were changed as 0 = 4, 1 = 3, 2 = 2, 3 = 1, 4 = 0, and final score was calculated by addition of the individual scores. The individual scores on the PSS ranged between 0 and 40 with a high score indicating a high level of perceived stress. The scores were interpreted as follows: A) Scores ranging from 0-13 indicated low stress; B) Scores ranging from 14-26 indicated moderate stress, and C) Scores ranging from 27-40 indicated high-perceived stress.

Collection and Analysis of the Saliva

Collection of saliva was done on the same day in the morning between 9.30 am to 10.00 am. The students were instructed to chew sugar-free chewing gum to stimulate the salivary flow, and 10 mL of saliva samples were collected in a test tube and later transferred into a sterile centrifuge tube. The salivary samples were immediately frozen at -20°C and stored at the same temperature. Later, samples were thawed at 37°C and then centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant fluid obtained was used to estimate cortisol levels using the electrochemiluminescence immunoassay on an Elecsys immunoassay analyzer (Roche Diagnostics International Ltd, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). The cortisol saliva Elisa kit (IBL International Corp., Bottighofen, Switzerland) was used for analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Data were tabulated and statistically analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Science version 16.0. The chi-square test was used to evaluate the prevalence of TMD among the participants. The Mann-Whitney U test analyzed the levels of stress in the two groups. The unpaired t-test evaluated the cortisol levels. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Spearman's correlation test assessed the strength of the association between stress and salivary cortisol levels in patients with TMD.

Results

This study evaluated the prevalence of TMD in men and women with a mean age of 20.5 years. A total of 348 students responded to the questionnaire. The sample consisted of 53.7% women and 46.2% men. As seen in Table 1, the prevalence of TMD among the study population was 30.7%, and female students (57%) presented a higher prevalence of TMD than the male students (43%). The prevalence of TMD in the comparison between the sexes was not statistically significant (p=0.414).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TMD</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>46 (45.0)</td>
<td>61 (57.0)</td>
<td>107 (30.7)</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>112 (57.0)</td>
<td>126 (52.3)</td>
<td>241 (69.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chi-square test.

Table 2 explains TMD's diagnosis assessed using Axis I into group I, group II, and group III. Group I disorder (myofacial pain) were the most prevalent among the participants (14.2%). Disk displacement was
observed in 5.6% of the participants with a reduction on the right/left/both TMJs; however, only 4.2% of students had associated pain and required treatment. Only 1.3% of the participants showed disk displacement without reduction, and 4.8% of the participants had joint disorders, such as osteoarthritis and arthralgia.

Table 2. Prevalence of the TMD Axis I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Muscle Disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myofascial Pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Myofascial Pain with Limited Mouth Opening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disk Displacement with Reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present, Left /Right TMJ</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present, Left and Right TMJs</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disk Displacement with Reduction with Pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present, Left /Right TMJ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present, Left and Right TMJs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Other Joint Disorders – Arthralgia, Osteoarthritis, Osteoarthrosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present, Left /Right TMJ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present, Left and Right TMJs</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment of salivary cortisol levels between the TMD and control groups, as seen in Table 3, showed a statistically significant (p<0.001) difference. Evaluation of the stress levels also revealed a statistically significant (p<0.001) difference between the control and TMD groups, as seen in Table 4.

Table 3. Salivary Cortisol Levels between TMD patients and control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salivary Cortisol Levels</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMD Patients</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.107 ± 0.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.696 ± 0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unpaired t-test.

Table 4. Stress levels between TMD patients and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress Scores</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMD Patients</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>820</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mann Whitney U test.

The salivary cortisol levels were compared between the sexes in the control and TMD groups. A statistically significant difference (p<0.05) was seen in the cortisol levels between the male and female students in both the control and TMD groups (Table 5).

Table 5. Salivary cortisol levels between control group and TMD group according to gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control - Females</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.0129*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control - Males</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD - Females</td>
<td>1.227</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.0015*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD - Males</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.0632</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Paired t-test.
Similarly, the stress levels were compared between the sexes in the control and TMD groups in Table 6, and a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) was seen in the stress levels between males and female students in both the control and TMD groups.

Table 6. Stress levels between control group and TMD group according to gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control - Females</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>2.024</td>
<td>0.0338*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control - Males</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.043</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD - Females</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>3.928</td>
<td>0.00186*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD - Males</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>4.779</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Paired t-test.

The students diagnosed with stress were categorized as low, moderate, or high. In our study, all the students in the control group showed low stress (100%) levels, while the students in the TMD group showed moderate (45%) and high-stress levels (55%), as seen in Table 7. A moderate positive correlation was observed (r=0.561) between the salivary cortisol and stress levels in TMD group.

Table 7. Categorization of control group and TMD group based on PSS scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress Levels</th>
<th>Control Group (n=20)</th>
<th>TMD Group (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Stress</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Stress</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Stress</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The TMJ disorder is a commonly occurring jaw-associated problem. Patients with TMD generally experience joint and muscle pain during mandibular movements, joint sounds, and a limited range of mandibular motion. TMD can present with varying degrees of signs and symptoms affecting individuals of any age group and sex [2-4]. The validity and reliability of the techniques in the RDC/TMD questionnaire for diagnosis of TMD have been critically assessed for their use in clinical settings and research purposes [2,10,19]. Symptoms of stress usually present as clinical manifestations of TMDs, resulting in changes in the levels of cortisol secretion. Hence, salivary cortisol and stress levels were assessed in patients with TMD. The students with TMD presented with higher stress levels and higher cortisol levels. The hypothesis that students with TMD did not present with any changes in stress and salivary cortisol levels could not be accepted.

The prevalence of TMD in this study was 30.7%. The sample consisted of 53.7% female and 46.2% male students. Concerning sex, female students (57%) presented a higher prevalence of TMD than male students (43%). Ahmed et al. [23] reported similar results in a study on 500 Sudanese students in which females presented a higher prevalence of TMD than males. Similar results were reported by Oliveira et al. [25] in a study on 2996 Brazilian students in which 73.03% of females exhibited TMD compared to 56.26% of males. Karthik et al. [26] reported that the prevalence of TMD in females was almost twice that in males. This higher prevalence in females can be explained by physiological factors, such as variations in hormonal levels, connective tissue characteristics, and muscle structure [25,26].

In our study, the RDC/TMD Axis I showed that the participants presented with a higher prevalence of muscle disorders (group I), seen in 14.2% of the participants. The prevalence of disk displacement (group II) was seen in 5.6% of the participants with a reduction on the right/left/both TMJs. Arthralgia, osteoarthritis,
or osteoarthrosis (group III) was seen in 4.8% of the participants. Salameh et al. [27] reported that group I muscle disorders were the most common and were detected in 56.7% of patients, whereas disk displacement in 26.7%, and arthralgia, osteoarthritis, or osteoarthrosis were detected in 16.7%. Furthermore, a higher prevalence of TMD was observed in females than in males (females 70% and males 30%) [27]. A study by Progiante et al. [28] assessed the prevalence of TMDs in the Brazilian population using the RDC/TMD Axis I questionnaire and reported that the prevalence of muscle disorders (group I) was 29.5%, disk displacement (group II) was 7.9%, and arthralgia, osteoarthritis, or osteoarthrosis (group III) was 39.1%. A meta-analysis for RDC/TMD Axis I prevalence in a systematic review reported the prevalence of muscle disorders (group I) was 45.3%, disk displacement (group II) was 41.1%, and arthralgia, osteoarthritis, or osteoarthrosis (group III) was 30.1% [2]. The results of this study are consistent with those of a study conducted in the Brazilian population that used the RDC/TMD questionnaire in patients with TMD and reported the prevalence of muscle disorders in 77.1% of the population, disk displacements in 75.7%, and other joint disorders in 61.4% of the population [29]. However, the prevalence rate of TMD was higher in the two previous studies than in our study, owing to the larger sample size.

An association between stress and presence of painful TMD has been established. Generally, stress can trigger hyperactivity of the muscle and pain, leading to joint inflammation [30]. After evaluating the PSS, stress levels were found to be increased in the TMD group than in the control group. In this study, a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) was observed between the male and female students' stress levels in the control and TMD groups. All the students in the control group exhibited low-stress levels; whereas, students in the TMD group exhibited moderate and high-stress levels. In our study, 45% of the students in the TMD group had moderate stress and 55% had high stress levels. Salameh et al. [27] also reported higher stress levels in TMD cases than in the controls with the PSS, similar to this study.

Salivary cortisol estimation was considered over plasma cortisol, as it is a non-invasive procedure and results are similar to those of plasma cortisol. Following the circadian rhythm of cortisol secretion, saliva was collected in the morning, as levels of the hormone are higher [23]. In this study, cortisol levels were higher in the TMD group. The cortisol levels in saliva varied from 0.8 to 1.0 µg/dL for the TMD group and from 0.4 to 0.9 µg/dL for the control group. The mean and standard deviation of salivary cortisol levels for TMD and control groups were 1.107 ± 0.17 and 0.696 ± 0.16, respectively. The cortisol levels in the TMD group were higher than the normal levels (0.3-1 µg/dL). The difference in the cortisol levels between the TMD and control groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). The results obtained were similar to those of Salameh et al. [27]. Significant differences in the salivary cortisol concentrations between the TMD group and controls were observed [26]. Similar results were observed in a study by Ali et al. [31], where the TMD group with stress showed significantly higher levels of saliva cortisol (177.8 ± 12.24 ng/mL) than the control (22.9 ± 2.28 ng/mL). A statistically significant difference was seen between the cortisol values among the male and female students in the control and TMD groups. A positive correlation was observed between stress and salivary cortisol levels among students with TMD.

The limitation of this study was the consideration of a small sample size for the estimation of cortisol and stress levels. It impaired the comparison of cortisol levels in low, moderate, and high stress levels. Future studies with a larger sample size are recommended, which may help to strengthen the concept of using salivary cortisol levels as a biomarker for the diagnosis of stress in individuals with TMD.
Conclusion

This study showed that psychosocial stress played a vital role in the etiopathogenesis of temporomandibular disorders. Female students were at a higher risk of TMD than male students, and muscle disorders were the most common. The significantly higher levels of salivary cortisol and stress levels in individuals with TMD indicated the significance of salivary cortisol levels as a biological marker of stress in patients with temporomandibular disorders.
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